

Fibers of cellulose sugarcane bagasse with bromelain enzyme immobilized to application in dressing

Silgia A. Costa^{*1}, Annie A. Cerón¹, Bruna B. Petreca², Sirlene M. Costa¹

¹School of Arts, Sciences and Humanities, Textile and Fashion Course, University of São Paulo, Av. Arlindo Bético, 1000, Parque Ecológico do Tietê, Ermelino Matarazzo, CEP: 03828-080, São Paulo – SP, Brazil

²Material Science Royal College of Art, Kensington Gore, London SW7 2EU, England

*Corresponding author: silgia@usp.br

Abstract— The enzymes have been gaining more importance in different fields, among the most important the pharmacist. However, due to the protein nature of the enzymes, a great part of them presents great instability under certain conditions of use. A great way to stabilize them is to use immobilization techniques. Bromelain from pineapple has shown potential to be used in the treatment of burn-like skin injuries and superficial injuries. Biocatalytic textile fibers from cellulose of sugarcane bagasse were prepared using surface functionalization with epichlorohydrin (4% v/v) and glutaraldehyde (0,5% v/v), and 1-ethyl- (3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and bromelain immobilization by covalent bonding in the fibers. The best immobilization results for the bromelain enzyme immobilization were using the aminopropyltriethoxysilane and glutaraldehyde activating agent at pH 7 to values of 68.97% and 88.14% for total protein content and enzyme activity, respectively. Using the approach described in this paper, others advanced materials from pulp fibers and bioprocesses might be developed using bromelain and other enzymes for the target applications.

Keywords: Bromelain immobilization, medical textile, sugarcane bagasse cellulose, fibers.

Highlights

- The immobilization of the enzyme bromelain in textile fibers from cellulose of sugarcane bagasse using three different activating agents was successful.
- Considering of three activating agents used to bromelain immobilization, aminopropyltriethoxysilane and glutaraldehyde at pH 7 showed the best results.
-

Acknowledgments

This work was sponsored by São Paulo Research Foundation (Fapesp) Process: 06/56029-3 and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brazil (CAPES) -Finance Code 001.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the medical field, textile materials play an increasingly important role, especially with advances in nanotechnology. Fibers, woven, non-woven, and knitwear can be used in a variety of applications, such as non-implants, implants and extracorporeal devices [1].

The morphological and cutting characteristics, as well as the large surface area, mean that the fibers present a wide range of functionality possibilities, which are candidates for several applications of interest [2]. For use in medical textiles, the materials must meet certain specifications such as biocompatibility, sterilizable, non-toxic and exhibit good mechanical characteristics, especially in relation to strength and durability. These recommendations are necessary for the protection of the patient and the medical staff against infection and contamination. Others important properties for medical textiles are toughness, absorbability, and flexibility. The materials used may be biodegradable, natural, synthetic or non-biodegradable [3]. Medical textile materials can be classified as surgical textiles, textiles for extra-corporeal systems and hygiene and health products. Within the group of surgical textiles can be found implantable and non-implantable textiles, the group of extra-corporeal systems refer to artificial organs, and hygiene and health products to bedding, uniforms and cleaning materials, among others [2].

Textile fibers for implantable application is a constant focus of studies and researches. Heart valve rings, vascular grafts, percutaneous devices, and hernia repair meshes can be found. In addition, the fibers have been used in regenerative medicine [4, 5]. Use of fibers in bone tissue engineering offers clear advantages such as good porosity, lightness, and a better adjustable elastic modulus than a metallic product, which improves bone tissue regeneration [6]. About non-implantable materials, these are materials with applications outside the body, such as compresses, plasters, and gauzes.

Wound care dressings are used in multiple applications including protection against infection, absorption of blood and fluid exudation, scarring, and drug applications. They are usually formed by a contact layer with a wound, an absorbent layer, and the base material. A contact layer should not adhere to the wound. Collagen, alginate and chitosan fibers are used as healing substances. The absorbent material may be from a cotton and viscose nonwoven. In the layer of contact with the skin are used knits, fabrics and nonwoven of fibers of silk, polyamide, viscose, and polyethylene. In the base material, nonwoven fibers of cotton, viscose, and synthetic fibers are used [2].

Bandages are materials used in various applications including to hold the dressing over the wound. The bandages may be knitted, woven and nonwoven from cotton fibers, viscose, polyamide, and elastane yarns. Elastane yarns provide more comfort in the bandage structure [2, 7]. In addition, global trends for scientific and technological advancement in new materials highlight the importance of using industrial and agro-industrial waste as raw materials in production processes. Reuse and recycling of these wastes can minimize the environmental problems associated with the accumulation and reduce the use of noble raw materials [8, 9].


In Brazil, sugarcane is one of the largest monocultures, with an estimated yield of 615,839.9 million tons in 2018-2019. Most of the sugarcane produced is used to produce sugar and alcohol. Sugar production is estimated at 31,728.5 million tons, and ethanol is 32,314,145.9 million liters [10]. An amount of 1 ton of

sugarcane used in the manufacture of sugar and ethanol generates, on average, 250 kg of bagasse and 200 kg of straw and tips [11].

Bagasse is a residue rich in carbohydrate, being constituted by fibers and inner pith in proportions of approximately 65% and 35% respectively [12]. Like all other lignocellulosic material, the bagasse is constituted by three main macromolecular components: cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin [13]. In this way, the composition of sugarcane bagasse has stimulated several research groups to develop technologies aimed at their use [14-19]. Sugarcane bagasse cellulose has been studied to produce derivatives such as acetate, paper and textile fibers [18].

Many techniques have been used for enzyme immobilization, and the technique selection will depend directly on the enzyme characteristics such as solubility, among the others [20]. In 1995, Kennedy proposed a method of classification for enzymes immobilization, which seeks to combine the nature of the interactions between the enzyme and the support responsible for immobilization (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1 Classification of enzyme immobilization methods [21]



Bromelain is a mixture of proteolytic enzymes derived from the stem of the pineapple plant, (*Ananas comosus*) with a molar mass of 23.8 kDa. In vitro and in vivo studies with bromelain revealed the properties, anti-inflammatory, antithrombolytic and fibrinolytic. Due to bromelain efficacy, safety, and lack of side effects after its oral administration, bromelain has gained growth, acceptance, and compliance among patients as a phytotherapeutic drug [22-24].

Another wide range of therapeutic benefits has been claimed for bromelain, such as oral treatment of diseases related to inflammation and blood coagulation, inhibition of platelet aggregation, angina pectoris, bronchitis, sinusitis, surgical trauma, thrombophlebitis, pyelonephritis and increased drug absorption, particularly antibiotics, modulation of tumor growth, third-degree burns and improvement of antibiotic action

[25-27]. Biochemical experiments indicate that these pharmacological properties depend only in part on proteolytic activity [27].

Anti-inflammatory effect of bromelain is also related to its proteolytic activity. Bromelain inhibits the synthesis of inflammatory mediators, prostaglandin E2 and thromboxane A2. It has also been reported to increase tissue permeability by fibrinolysis and promote fluid reabsorption of edema in the systemic circulation [28]. Anti-inflammatory activity of bromelain was studied in nanoparticles synthesized from katira gum loaded with this enzyme. The results showed that increasing the dose of bromelain from 20 mg/kg to 40 mg/kg led to a significant inhibition in rat hind paw edema [29].

Bromelain has been used in several applications in the food and pharmaceutical industries, it can be used in the brewing of meat, in the clarification of beers, in the manufacture of cheeses, in the preparation of children's and dietetic foods, in the pretreatment of soybeans, in the treatment of leather, in the treatment of digestive disorders, wounds, and inflammations, preparation of hydrolyzed collagen. Bromelain can also be used to produce ointments, creams, lotions, and gels, precisely because of its proven therapeutic efficacy [25, 26, 30].

In the textile industry, bromelain at acidic pH can be used in the presence of sodium chloride for controlled surface hydrolysis of wool. Bromelain treated wool can be washed in washing machine with minimum weight loss and tensile strength, at an industrial level [31].

The aim of this study is immobilized bromelain in fibers from sugarcane bagasse cellulose SH/H₂O using three different activating agents (epichlorohydrin (4% v/v) + glutaraldehyde (0,5% v/v), 1-ethyl- (3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) + universal buffer and γ -aminopropyltriethoxysilano (γ -APS) + (0.5%) glutaraldehyde) at pH 6 and 7 were tested.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sugarcane bagasse cellulose fibers (lyocell fibers) were obtained according to Costa, et al. (2013) [18], bromelain from pineapple stem with 3-7 units mg⁻¹ protein, of the Sigma-Aldrich, epichlorohydrin Sigma Aldrich, γ -aminopropyltriethoxysilane (γ -APS) Sigma Aldrich, 1-ethyl- (3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) Sigma Aldrich and glutaraldehyde Sigma Aldrich. All reagents and solvents using were analytical grade.

2.1 Fiber activation

Sugarcane bagasse cellulose fibers were activating using epichlorohydrin (4% v/v) with glutaraldehyde (0,5% v/v), 1-ethyl- (3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and γ -aminopropyltriethoxysilane (γ -APS) with glutaraldehyde (0,5% v/v).

2.1.1 Fibers activation with epichlorohydrin (4% v/v) and glutaraldehyde (0,5% v/v)

Three samples of 0.2 g of fibers produced with bagasse cellulose (SH/H₂O) were weighed and treated with 25 mL of 4% (v/v) epichlorohydrin solution for 15 min at 50 °C and washed with distilled water. After, the

fibers were held in a glutaraldehyde solution 0.5% (v/v) for 2 h at room temperature. After this time, the samples were washed and dried for 2 h at 50 °C.

2.1.2 Fibers activation with 1-ethyl- (3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC)

Samples of 0.2 g of the fibers produced with bagasse cellulose (SH/H₂O) were placed in contact with a 0.75% (w/v) solution of 1-ethyl- (3-3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) prepared in universal buffer solution at pH 7, for a period of 60 min. After this period the samples were vacuum filtered.

2.1.3 Fibers activation using γ -aminopropyltriethoxysilane (γ -APS) and glutaraldehyde (0,5% v/v)

Samples of 0.2 g of the fibers produced with bagasse cellulose (SH/H₂O) were placed in contact with a 1% (v / v) γ -aminopropyltriethoxysilane (γ -APS) solution prepared in universal buffer solution at pH 4, 6, 7 and 9 for a period of 24 h. Successive the samples were vacuum filtered and placed in a 0.5% (v/v) solution of glutaraldehyde for 1 h. The glutaraldehyde solution was removed.

2.2 Bromelain immobilization

Solutions of bromelain (0.025 g in 25 mL phosphate buffer) at pH 6 and 7 were prepared and 20 mL of each enzymatic solution was added to the treated fiber samples to contact time of 3 h [34, 35]. After this time, the enzyme solutions were removed, and the volume measured to check for loss of volume by absorption. The samples of the enzyme solutions 0h, 3h, 1st, 2nd, and 3rd wash, were used to measure activity. Determination of the proteolytic activity was carried out according to modifications of the methodologies proposed by KUNITZ (1947) and WALTER (1984) [32,33].

Soluble protein concentration was determined by the colorimetric method of Bradford (1976) [36] which is based on the color change of the acidic solution of Coomassie Brilliant Blue G 250, when binding to a protein the maximum absorbance changes from 465 nm to 595 nm, and calculations were performed by Equation 1 [5]:

$$RP = \left(\frac{P_{ads}}{P_0} \right) \times 100 \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

RP= Protein yield (%); P₀= Protein available for immobilization (mg), and P_{ads}=(P₀-P_s-P_f) the amount of protein adsorbed to the support was determined as the difference between P₀ the proteins and remaining in the supernatant P_s in the filtered and P_f at the end of the immobilization process (mg).

The yield of the immobilization process was calculated by Equation 2:

$$RI = \left(\frac{U_{act}}{U_{ads}} \right) \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

$$U_{ads} = U_{act} - U_s - U_f \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

In which: RI= Immobilization yield (%), U_{act} = enzyme activity units provided for immobilization; U_{ads}= overall units of enzyme absorbed by the support is given by the difference between the given units for the

immobilization (Uact), waste units present in the supernatant (Us) and filtered at the end of the immobilization process (Uf).

2.3 pH stability of bromelain immobilized in cellulose fibers

To test the pH stability of immobilized bromelain in the fibers, 25 mg of fibers in 25 mL of universal buffer solutions at pH 2 to 12 were placed in a 50 mL Falcon tube and incubated to 37 ° C for 60 min and the proteolytic activity was measurement [32,33].

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

By the immobilization process, there are several factors such as pH, temperature, ionic strength of the medium, enzyme concentration, type of support and even the reagents used during the immobilization process can influence the yields obtained [34].

The immobilization studies were performed using the lyocell fibers produced in the laboratory from the cellulose of the sugarcane bagasse SH/H₂O₂ and epichlorohydrin (4% v/v) with glutaraldehyde (0,5% v/v), 1-ethyl- (3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and γ -aminopropyltriethoxysilane (γ -APS) with glutaraldehyde (0,5% v/v) as the activating agents.

Based on Fig. 2, no visual differences in the fibers activated using different activating agents were observed.

Fig. 2 Fibers from cellulose of sugarcane bagasse. Source: author



The results of the immobilization of bromelain in the lyocell fibers in terms of protein and enzymatic activity yield are shown in Table 1. It was observed that for the three different activating agents, the best results were obtained using pH 7 for both protein and enzymatic activity yield. Although, the highest results of enzyme activity recovered were obtained using with γ -aminopropyltriethoxysilane (γ -APS) + (0.5%) glutaraldehyde and pH 7 (88.14%) and to protein yield to EDC + universal buffer and pH 7. To immobilization using the fiber activation treatment with (4%) epichlorohydrin + (0.5%), glutaraldehyde no stronger influence of pH was observed. Increase of 10% to enzymatic activity and 21% to protein yield.

Table 1 Results of immobilization of bromelain in lyocell fibers produced with cellulose of sugarcane bagasse SH/H₂O₂ using different activating agents

*IM	pH	Protein yield (%)	Enzymatic activity yield (%)
1a	6	44.8	50.5
1b	7	52.3	55.6
2a	6	49.6	49.04
2b	7	80.0	77.0
3a	6	43.43	41.11
3b	7	68.97	88.14


* Immobilization methods (IM): 1- Treatment with (4%) epichlorohydrin + (0.5%) glutaraldehyde: a) buffer solution pH 6 and b) buffer solution pH 7. 2- Treatment with EDC + universal buffer: a) buffer solution pH 6 and b) buffer solution pH 7. 3- Treatment with (1%) γ -aminopropyltriethoxysilane (γ -APS) + (0.5%) glutaraldehyde a) buffer solution pH 6 and b) buffer solution pH 7.

The highest pH influence was observed in the treatment with (1%) γ -aminopropyltriethoxysilane (γ -APS) + (0.5%) glutaraldehyde, obtaining an increase of 114% in the enzymatic activity and 58% in the protein yield.

Comparing the difference between protein yield and enzymatic activity yield, (4%) epichlorohydrin + (0.5%) glutaraldehyde treatment, values of 12.7 and 6.3% to pH 6 and pH 7 respectively, were obtained, these values showing that not all immobilized proteins remained active. Often, until the immobilization processes, the active site of the enzymes can be blocked generating these differences. To bromelain immobilization process using EDC and (1%) γ -APS + (0.5%) glutaraldehyde, the differences indicated that the total immobilized protein in the fiber has catalytic activity and efficient recovery of enzymatic activity of free bromelain was obtained.

The effects of pH on the stability of soluble and immobilized enzymes are shown in Figure 3. Proteolytic activity of bromelain to pH values between 2 to 12, at 37 °C and 60 min was tested.

Fig. 3 Stability profile to immobilized bromelain to pH 2 to 12 at 37 °C and 60 min



A peak of activity at pH 7 (0.3031 $\mu\text{mol mL}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$) was observed and the bromelain immobilized enzyme was highly stable at pH range 6-8, in this pH range the enzyme may be in charged or neutral form. The

equilibrium of the loads is an important factor for the bond of the catalyst to the support to occur [37]. The enzyme remained active during the immobilization process, and the ideal pH value for the immobilization process was 7.0, which also shows that it is within the stability of the enzyme.

CONCLUSION

Use the sugarcane bagasse fibers as a biomaterial for enzymes immobilization has been evaluated in this work and immobilization yield and protein retention were evaluated as parameters to determinate the ability of sugarcane bagasse fibers to immobilize bromelain to be implemented in medical applications. The best results of immobilization of the bromelain enzyme in the fibers were obtained with the activating agent aminopropyltriethoxysilane and glutaraldehyde at pH 7 with values in terms of total proteins of 68.97% and activity of 88.14%. The efficiency of immobilization depends on many parameters as the properties of the enzymes, supports, immobilization method, etc. However, this study and previous studies on immobilization indicated the suitability of use different activating agents for bromelain immobilization in sugarcane bagasse fibers.

Conflict of interest

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Petrulyte, S., Petrulis D (2011) Modern textiles and biomaterials for healthcare. In: Limited WP (ed) Handbook of Medical Textiles. Cambridge, pp 1–37.
2. Araújo, M., Fangueiro, R., Hong H (2001) Têxteis técnicos na medicina. Aplicações, novos processos e novos produtos. Têxteis Técnicos Materiais do Novo Milénio, 3rd ed. Williams, Ltda.
3. Teo AJT, Mishra A, Park I, et al (2016) Polymeric Biomaterials for Medical Implants and Devices. ACS Biomater Sci Eng 2:454–472. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.5b00429>.
4. Lima PAL, Resende CX, De Almeida Soares GD, et al (2013) Preparation, characterization and biological test of 3D-scaffolds based on chitosan, fibroin and hydroxyapatite for bone tissue engineering. Mater Sci Eng C 33:3389–3395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2013.04.026>.
5. Furuya DC, Costa SA da, Oliveira RC de, et al (2017) Fibers Obtained from Alginate, Chitosan and Hybrid Used in the Development of Scaffolds. Mater Res 20:377–386. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-5373-mr-2016-0509>.
6. Thavornnyutikarn B, Chantarapanich N, Sitthiseripratip K, et al (2014) Bone tissue engineering scaffolding: computer-aided scaffolding techniques. Prog Biomater 3:61–102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40204-014-0026-7>.
7. Gokarneshan N (2018) Some Significant Developments in Bandage Fabrics Comparison of Some Commercially available Antimicrobial Agents Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activity. J Nurs Patient Heal Care 1:1–14.

8. Pandey A, Soccol CR, Nigam P, Soccol V (2000) Biotechnological potential of agro-industrial residues. I: sugarcane bagasse. *Bioresour Technol* 74:69–80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(99\)00142-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(99)00142-X).
9. Henrique P, Pereira F, Rosa MDF, et al (2015) Vegetal fibers in polymeric composites: a review. *Polímeros* 25:9–22.
10. Conab (2019) Acompanhamento da Safra Brasileira. <https://www.conab.gov.br/info-agro/safras/cana/boletim-da-safra-de-cana-de-acucar>. Accessed 7 May 2019.
11. UDOP “Union of Bioenergy Producers” (2018) Cana-de-açúcar. <http://www.udop.com.br/index.php?item=noticias&cod=1138389>. Accessed 7 May 2019.
12. Triana O, Leonard M, Saavedra F, et al (1990) Atlas del Bagazo de la caña de azúcar. Cuba-9/GEPLACEA/ ICIDCA, México.
13. Ferraz A (2001) Aplicações da biotecnologia na produção de papel e celulose. In: Lima UA, Aquarone E, Borzani W, Schmidell W (eds) *Biotecnologia Industrial*, 3rd ed. São Paulo: Edgard Blucher Ltda, pp 465–484.
14. Santos VT de O, Siqueira G, Milagres AMF, Ferraz A (2018) Role of hemicellulose removal during dilute acid pretreatment on the cellulose accessibility and enzymatic hydrolysis of compositionally diverse sugarcane hybrids. *Ind Crops Prod* 111:722–730. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.11.053>.
15. Leão RM, Miléo PC, Maia JMLL, Luz SM (2017) Environmental and technical feasibility of cellulose nanocrystal manufacturing from sugarcane bagasse. *Carbohydr Polym* 175:518–529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.07.087>.
16. Machado A da S, Ferraz A (2017) Biological pretreatment of sugarcane bagasse with basidiomycetes producing varied patterns of biodegradation. *Bioresour Technol* 225:17–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.11.053>.
17. Miléo PC, Oliveira MF, Luz SM, et al (2016) Thermal and chemical characterization of sugarcane bagasse cellulose/lignin-reinforced composites. *Polym Bull* 73:3163–3174. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00289-016-1647-x>.
18. Costa SM, Costa SA, Pahl R, et al (2013) Textile Fiber Produced from Sugarcane Bagasse Cellulose: an Agro-Industrial Residue. *Int J Text Fash Technol* 3:15–28.
19. Anselmo Filho P, Badr O (2004) Biomass resources for energy in North-Eastern Brazil. *Appl Energy* 77:51–67. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-2619\(03\)00095-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-2619(03)00095-3).
20. Souza LTA, Veríssimo LAA, João BCP, et al (2017) Imobilização enzimática: princípios fundamentais e tipos de suporte. *Biotecnologia Aplicada à Agroindústria*, 4th ed. Edgard Blücher Ltda.
21. Kennedy JF, Roig MG (1995) Principles of immobilization of enzymes. In: *Handbook of enzyme biotechnology*, 3rd ed. Prentice Hall Ellis Harwood, New York, pp 235–310.
22. Gupta P, Maqbool T, Saleemuddin M (2007) Oriented immobilization of stem bromelain via the lone histidine on a metal affinity support. *J Mol Catal B Enzym* 45:78–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcatb.2006.12.002>.
23. Fitzhugh DJ, Shan S, Dewhirst MW, Hale LP (2008) Bromelain treatment decreases neutrophil migration to sites of inflammation. *Clin Immunol* 128:66–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2008.02.015>.

24. de Lencastre Novaes LC, Jozala AF, Lopes AM, et al (2016) Stability, purification, and applications of bromelain: A review. *Biotechnol Prog* 32:5–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2190>.
25. Maurer HR (2001) Bromelain: biochemistry, pharmacology and medical use. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 58:1234–1245. <https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00000936>.
26. Tochi BN, Wang Z, Xu S-Y, et al (2008) Therapeutic application of pineapple protease. *Pak J Nutr* 7:513–520.
27. Pavan R, Jain S, Shraddha, Kumar A (2012) Properties and Therapeutic Application of Bromelain: A Review. *Biotechnol Res Int* 2012:1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/976203>.
28. Rathnavelu V, Alitheen N, Sohila S, et al (2016) Potential role of bromelain in clinical and therapeutic applications (Review). *Biomed Reports* 283–288. <https://doi.org/10.3892/br.2016.720>.
29. Bernela M, Ahuja M, Thakur R (2016) Enhancement of anti-inflammatory activity of bromelain by its encapsulation in katira gum nanoparticles. *Carbohydr Polym* 143:18–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.01.055>.
30. Seguí Gil L, Fito Maupoey P (2018) An integrated approach for pineapple waste valorization. Bioethanol production and bromelain extraction from pineapple residues. *J Clean Prod* 172:1224–1231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.284>.
31. Kaur A, Chakraborty JN (2015) Controlled eco-friendly shrink-resist finishing of wool using bromelain. *J Clean Prod* 108:503–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.07.147>.
32. Kunitz M (1947) Crystalline Soybean Trypsin Inhibitor: Ii. General Properties. *J Gen Physiol* 30:291–310. <https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.30.4.291>.
33. Walter HE (1984) Proteinases: methods with hemoglobin, casein, and azocoll as substrates. In: Verlag Chemie (ed) *Methods of Enzymatic Analysis*, 5th ed. Weinheim, pp 270–277.
34. Costa SA, Reis RL (2004) Immobilization of catalase on the surface of biodegradable starch-based polymers as a way to change its surface characteristics. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 15:335–342. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JMSM.0000021098.75103.3a>.
35. Hung TH, Chang YM, Sung HY, Chang CT (2002) Purification and characterization of hydrolase with chitinase and chitosanase activity from commercial stem bromelain. *J Agric Food Chem* 50:4666–4673. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0114886>.
36. Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 72:248–254. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697\(76\)90527-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3).
37. Arumugam A, Ponnusami V (2013) Pineapple fruit bromelain recovery using recyclable functionalized ordered mesoporous silica synthesized from sugarcane leaf ash. *Brazilian J Chem Eng* 30:477–486. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-66322013000300006>.