



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Energy Procedia 158 (2019) 3314-3318

Procedia

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

10th International Conference on Applied Energy (ICAE2018), 22-25 August 2018, Hong Kong, China

Infrastructure-Integrated Photovoltaic (IIPV): a boost to solar energy's green credentials?

Xiaoyu Yan^{a,b*}, Stephen Jia Wang^c

^aCollege of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Sciences (CEMPS), University of Exeter, Penryn TR10 9FE, UK ^bEnvironment and Sustainability Institute (ESI), University of Exeter, Penryn TR10 9FE, UK ^cSchool of Design, Royal College of Art, Kensington Gore, Kensington, London SW7 2EU

Abstract

Infrastructure-integrated photovoltaic (IIPV) has potential to improve the green credentials of solar energy at a time when environmental impacts of energy systems are under increasing scrutiny. However, little attention has been given to the environmental sustainability of IIPV in comparison with standalone PV systems and other energy technologies. Research is urgently needed to address this apparent gap in our understanding of IIPV's impact on existing infrastructure, the environment and wider society before its large-scale deployment.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of ICAE2018 – The 10th International Conference on Applied Energy.

Keywords: infrastructure; integrated; photovoltaic; environmental sustainability

1. Introduction

Solar photovoltaic (PV) is one of the fastest growing renewable energy sources in recent years. Thanks to the versatility of PV technologies, their integration into infrastructure systems is also on the rise. Infrastructure-integrated PV (IIPV) has been applied in many sectors including transport (e.g., on top of parking lots for electric vehicle charging [1] and as highway sound barriers [2] and pavements [3]), water (e.g., on reservoirs [4], dams and canals [5]), agriculture (e.g., on top of farmland [6], greenhouses and aquaculture farms [7]) and the built

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-1326259485 *E-mail address:* Xiaoyu.Yan@exeter.ac.uk

1876-6102 ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$ 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of ICAE2018 – The 10th International Conference on Applied Energy. 10.1016/j.egypro.2019.01.973 environment (e.g., integrated with street lighting [8] and buildings [9]). Figs. 1 and 2 show two examples of IIPV. These innovative IIPV applications could potentially give solar energy's green credentials a welcoming boost, at a time when environmental impacts of energy systems are under increasing scrutiny. Given the enormous demand for new infrastructure globally [10], there is great potential for IIPV development. It's main application could be in urban environments which already house more than 50% of the global population and account for 70% of global energy use and CO₂ emissions [11,12]. However, the apparent lack of research on the environmental, architectural, and behavioral implications of IIPV could hamper its development in the long term.



Fig. 1. A solar PV farm on top of a car park



Fig. 2. A solar PV farm on top of cropland

2. Environmental impacts of solar energy

Renewable energy technologies in general are considered to be more environmentally friendly than their fossil fuel counterparts. However, they can and do have significant environmental impacts, particularly from a life cycle perspective [13]. Standalone solar PV systems could suffer from high impacts in categories including toxicity, biodiversity, air pollution, metal depletion and land use (and food security as a consequence) in comparison with other renewables or even fossil fuels [13–16]. These impacts may soon limit further large-scale deployment of PV systems considering the looming Water-Energy-Food-Environment (WEFE) nexus [17,18].

IIPV, an idea that is not new [19], could potentially resolve or at least ease these problems compared with standalone PV. For example, floating solar farms and solar canals could help reduce evaporation to conserve precious fresh water resources while at the same time benefit from higher electrical efficiency than conventional land-based PV systems (due to water's natural cooling effect) [4,5]. Solar pavement and building-integrated PV (BIPV) could reduce the land and materials footprints of PV. PV integrated with agriculture could also increase the productivity of land and may produce other benefits such as protection of crops against high temperatures [20].

3. Research needs

Although on paper IIPV offers opportunities to enhance the environmental sustainability of solar energy, the actual picture is far from clear. Teasing out the exact effects of IIPV is by no means straightforward as the challenges lie where its strength is, in the word "integrated". Little research has been done in this area apart from a few life cycle analysis (LCA) on BIPV [21–24]. Here, we briefly discuss a few key issues that need to be carefully considered in order to have a thorough understanding of IIPV's environmental impacts.

3.1. Multifunctionality

IIPV systems often kill several birds with one stone. This multifunctionality, however, brings a well-known methodological issue for quantitative environmental assessment techniques such as LCA. For example, how to apportion the land use impacts of a solar canal between energy generation and water transfer (i.e., the two products/services from the infrastructure) or the overall materials savings of BIPV [25] between energy and buildings? A system's approach is needed to evaluate IIPV within the context of the wider infrastructure systems.

3.2. Benchmarks

The choice of benchmarks can tip the balance in a comparative analysis. This certainly can be the case for IIPV systems as the appropriate benchmarks might not be obvious when comparing them with other energy technologies and standalone PV systems. In some cases, IIPV is "marginal" and can be added to existing infrastructure. An example would be floating PV farms on reservoirs where PV is not essential to the reservoirs with minimal effects on their functioning. Here, IIPV can be assessed relatively independent of the reservoirs provided that the added benefits such as reduced evaporation and increased electrical efficiency are taken into account. In other cases, IIPV is more integral to the infrastructure by design. BIPV is a prime example as it can influence building energy performance [9] and material requirements [25]. It is therefore necessary to compare the buildings with and without BIPV in order to accurately evaluate the effects of BIPV. This, however, is often not done in LCAs [21–24].

3.3. Performance

The performance of IIPV systems needs to be monitored or estimated carefully as this is a key parameter influencing their life cycle impacts. IIPV may not achieve the optimal levels of performance for key technical parameters such as electrical efficiency and system lifespan because of the need to accommodate other functionalities. For example, it might not be infeasible to use technologies such as solar tracker in IIPV systems to increase electrical efficiency given their inflexibility in terms of positioning. In addition, understanding IIPV's

interactions with other parts of the infrastructure and as a consequence influences on their performance is crucial but no trivia task. Data generated from existing or demonstration projects will be invaluable but modelling and simulations are equally important, especially for emerging technologies.

3.4. Transdisciplinarity

Given the diversity and scale of IIPV applications, transdisciplinary insights are required to get a sound grasp of the impacts. Inputs from researchers in energy technologies, materials, design & architecture, civil engineering, urban planning, transport, water systems, agriculture, environmental science, ecology and data science as well as infrastructure providers and operators are relevant and necessary. Any future research should be designed with such a transdisciplinary approach in mind.

4. Conclusion

IIPV is a promising concept for smart and sustainable energy systems with great potential for large-scale deployment. However, research is urgently needed to better understand its potential environmental implications and wider impact on society to guide R&D efforts and investments strategically. This can only be achieved through a holistic and transdisciplinary view of IIPV in the wider infrastructure systems and in particular, urban environments. Incentives must be put in place by policy makers to encourage cross-sector collaboration and information sharing to make this happen.

Acknowledgements

X.Y. acknowledges funding from EPSRC (EP/N005600/1) and Innovate UK (102880).

References

- [1] Nunes P, Figueiredo R, Brito MC. The use of parking lots to solar-charge electric vehicles. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2016;66:679–93. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.08.015.
- [2] Wadhawan SR, Pearce JM. Power and energy potential of mass-scale photovoltaic noise barrier deployment: A case study for the U.S. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2017;80:125–32. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.223.
- [3] Dezfooli AS, Nejad FM, Zakeri H, Kazemifard S. Solar pavement: A new emerging technology. Sol Energy 2017;149:272–84. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2017.04.016.
- [4] Sahu A, Yadav N, Sudhakar K. Floating photovoltaic power plant: A review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2016;66:815–24. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.08.051.
- [5] Kougias I, Bódis K, Jäger-Waldau A, Moner-Girona M, Monforti-Ferrario F, Ossenbrink H, et al. The potential of water infrastructure to accommodate solar PV systems in Mediterranean islands. Sol Energy 2016;136:174–82. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2016.07.003.
- [6] Ravi S, Macknick J, Lobell D, Field C, Ganesan K, Jain R, et al. Colocation opportunities for large solar infrastructures and agriculture in drylands. Appl Energy 2016;165:383–92. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.12.078.
- [7] Xue J. Photovoltaic agriculture New opportunity for photovoltaic applications in China. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2017;73:1–9. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.098.
- [8] Ciriminna R, Meneguzzo F, Albanese L, Pagliaro M. Solar street lighting: a key technology en route to sustainability. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Energy Environ 2017;6:e218:doi: 10.1002/wene.218. doi:10.1002/wene.218.
- [9] Yang T, Athienitis AK. A review of research and developments of building-integrated photovoltaic/thermal (BIPV/T) systems. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2016;66:886–912. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.07.011.
- [10] Oxford Economics. Global Infrastructure Outlook. Global Infrastructure Hub; 2017.
- [11] Moriarty P, Wang SJ. Low-carbon Cities: Lifestyle Changes are Necessary. Energy Procedia 2014;61:2289-

92. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2014.12.439.

- [12] Moriarty P, Wang SJ. Eco-Efficiency Indicators for Urban Transport. J Sustain Dev Energy Water Environ Syst 2015;3:183–95. doi:10.13044/j.sdewes.2015.03.0015.
- [13] Asdrubali F, Baldinelli G, D'Alessandro F, Scrucca F. Life cycle assessment of electricity production from renewable energies: Review and results harmonization. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2015;42:1113–22. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.10.082.
- [14] Hertwich EG, Gibon T, Bouman EA, Arvesen A, Suh S, Heath GA, et al. Integrated life-cycle assessment of electricity-supply scenarios confirms global environmental benefit of low-carbon technologies. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2015;112:6277–82. doi:10.1073/pnas.1312753111.
- [15] Turconi R, Boldrin A, Astrup T. Life cycle assessment (LCA) of electricity generation technologies: Overview, comparability and limitations. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2013;28:555–65. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2013.08.013.
- [16] Hernandez RR, Easter SB, Murphy-Mariscal ML, Maestre FT, Tavassoli M, Allen EB, et al. Environmental impacts of utility-scale solar energy. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2014;29:766–79. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2013.08.041.
- [17] Salmoral G, Yan X. Food-energy-water nexus: A life cycle analysis on virtual water and embodied energy in food consumption in the Tamar catchment, UK. Resour Conserv Recycl 2018. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.01.018.
- [18] McGrane SJ, Acuto M, Artioli F, Chen P-Y. Scaling the nexus: Towards integrated frameworks for analysing water, energy and food. Geogr J 2018. doi:10.1111/geoj.12256.
- [19] Nordmann T. Photovoltaic building and infrastructure integration: The European experience of improvement in technology and economics. Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells 1997;47:213–26. doi:10.1016/S0927-0248(97)00042-1.
- [20] Dupraz C, Marrou H, Talbot G, Dufour L, Nogier A, Ferard Y. Combining solar photovoltaic panels and food crops for optimising land use: Towards new agrivoltaic schemes. Renew Energy 2011;36:2725–32. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2011.03.005.
- [21] Menoufi K, Chemisana D, Rosell JI. Life Cycle Assessment of a Building Integrated Concentrated Photovoltaic scheme. Appl Energy 2013;111:505–14. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.05.037.
- [22] Keoleian GA, Lewis GM. Modeling the life cycle energy and environmental performance of amorphous silicon BIPV roofing in the US. Renew Energy 2003;28:271–93. doi:10.1016/S0960-1481(02)00022-8.
- [23] Crawford RH, Treloar GJ, Fuller RJ, Bazilian M. Life-cycle energy analysis of building integrated photovoltaic systems (BiPVs) with heat recovery unit. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2006;10:559–75. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2004.11.005.
- [24] Hammond GP, Harajli HA, Jones CI, Winnett AB. Whole systems appraisal of a UK Building Integrated Photovoltaic (BIPV) system: Energy, environmental, and economic evaluations. Energy Policy 2012;40:219– 30. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2011.09.048.
- [25] Peng J, Lu L, Yang H. Review on life cycle assessment of energy payback and greenhouse gas emission of solar photovoltaic systems. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2013;19:255–74. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2012.11.035.